

JAIL PROFILE SURVEY

EXPLANATION OF RESULTS

The following link titles contain the Jail Profile Survey results for the fourth quarter of 2003. **Quarterly Totals** contains the fourth quarter totals based upon the monthly and quarterly data submitted to us by the participating jurisdictions. The next six (6) link titles show the trend data for variables we have been tracking for the last ten years (**Average Number of Persons Booked**, **Average Length of Stay**, **Average Daily Population**, **Percentage Non-Sentenced**, **Non-Sentenced ADP**, and **Sentenced ADP**). The remainder of this page provides explanations and clarifications of the data presented.

Quarterly Totals link

- Unless otherwise noted, “projected totals” are based upon the average per day for the variable in question. For example, there was an average of 75,264 inmates per day in local jails (excluding Type I’s) during the three-month span of October to December 2003.
- For some variables (other than ADP), we did not receive data from all jurisdictions. We compute the percentage of the ADP that was housed in the jurisdictions that did supply their data for the variable in question. Next, we increase the received number for the variable in question by an amount that estimates the statewide total. For example, if 90% of the state’s ADP was housed in jurisdictions that provided us with the number of Three Strike inmates, we would increase that number by 1/9th to estimate the state total.
- The number for “Bookings” is the average of the monthly totals for October, November and December.
- For the following variables, the numbers presented are the totals as of the mid-quarter (i.e., November 15, 2003); Pretrial Release, Early Release, 2 and 3 Strikes, Felony Warrants, Misdemeanor Warrants, Juveniles in Custody and Undocumented Aliens.
- “Assaults” is the total number of serious inmate assaults on staff (resulting in a crime report), statewide, during the fourth quarter of 2003.

Average Number of Persons Booked, **Average Length of Stay**, **Average Daily Population**, **Percentage Non-Sentenced**, **Non-Sentenced ADP**, and **Sentenced ADP** links

- The data in the 10-Year Summary tables are based upon survey data which the Board has gathered since the early 1980’s.
- Prior to 1995, yearly averages were reported to the Board on an annual basis. Yearly averages from 1996 on have been the average across the 12 calendar months. In 1995, the yearly figure reported is the fourth quarter average, since we do not have data from the first three quarters.

BOARD OF CORRECTIONS JAIL PROFILE SURVEY

Reporting Period: October to December, 2003

County Jail Populations

ADP ^a	75,264 ^b
Holding Areas	1,537
Average "Under the Roof" ^c	76,801 ^d
Highest One Day Count	80,698 ^e

County Jail Populations

Felony	58,161
Misdemeanor	17,103
Total	75,264
Non-Sentenced Males	43,301
Non-Sentenced Females	6,239
Sentenced Males	22,260
Sentenced Females	3,464
Total	75,264
Maximum Security Inmates	22,569
Medium Security Inmates	35,198
Minimum Security Inmates	17,497
Total	75,264

Inmates From Other Jurisdictions

Housed on Federal Contract	3,572
Housed on Contract with CDC	2,829
From Other Counties on Contract	154
Awaiting Transport	1,344
Total	7,899

Special Use Beds

Medical Beds	909
Mental Health Beds	3,403

Released Per Month Due to Lack of Space

Pretrial Release	6,578 ^f
Early Release	6,945 ^f
Total	13,523

Inmates with 2 and 3 Strikes

2 Strike Inmates	3,826 ^g
3 Strike Inmates	1,866 ^g
Total	5,692

Unserved Warrants

Felony Warrants	285,123 ^g
Misdemeanor Warrants	2,059,261 ^g
Total	2,344,384

Other Jail Profile Survey Variables

Bookings Per Month	100,988 ^f
Juveniles in Custody	78 ^f
Criminal / Illegal Aliens	8,520
Assaults On Staff	271


- a. The Average Daily Population for all jurisdictions (excluding Type I's) does not include inmates in holding areas.
- b. Unless otherwise indicated, the numbers reported are the average across the days in the quarter.
- c. "Under the Roof" is the sum of the Average Daily Population plus the average daily number of inmates in Holding Areas.
- d. Totals may not be the exact sum of the subtotals due to rounding.
- e. The sum of all Highest One Day inmate population counts from all jurisdictions.
- f. These data are collected on a monthly basis, this figure is an average of the total numbers collected each month during this quarter.
- g. These data are one-day snapshots collected at the end of the quarter.

Jail Profile Survey

2003

Average Daily Population

10 Year Summary									
1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
67,576	69,233	71,107	72,007	76,894	79,143	76,311	74,868	73,824	75,156




The ADP for the 4th Quarter of 2003 was 75,264. The ADP for the 2003 calendar year was 75,339 (very similar to the 75,156 ADP in 2002). For the last two years, the ADP has been in a holding pattern resulting from the California budget crisis. Even though bookings are at the highest level since 1994, and a number of other indicators suggest that jail populations should be increasing, the ADP has remained static. This has been achieved through a number of administrative and policy changes that serve to control the growth in the ADP. For example, as of the 4th Quarter of 2003, 13,523 individuals per month were given pretrial releases or early releases from their sentences, due solely to lack of jail space (i.e., jail space jurisdictions do not have the resources to operate).

Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2002	73,869	75,604	75,544	75,581	75,156
2003	75,553	75,257	75,288	75,264	75,339

Non-sentenced ADP

10 Year Summary									
1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
35,899	39,122	42,237	42,539	44,593	45,303	44,493	44,943	45,204	46,817




For the fourth quarter in a row, the non-sentenced ADP reached a record level (49,539 inmates). The yearly non-sentenced ADP for 2003 was about 2,300 inmates higher than for 2002, and over 13,000 inmates higher than ten years ago. Jail administrators have fewer options regarding alternatives to incarceration for non-sentenced inmates than for sentenced inmates. Therefore, during times when there is an artificial ceiling on the ADP, the proportion of non-sentenced inmates continually increases.

Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2002	46,341	46,941	47,438	46,541	46,817
2003	48,296	49,088	49,518	49,539	49,115

Sentenced ADP

10 Year Summary									
1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
31,677	30,142	28,870	29,468	32,301	33,841	31,819	29,925	28,620	28,338



As one might expect, the result for the sentenced ADP is the opposite of that found for the non-sentenced ADP. The sentenced ADP is at a ten-year low at 25,725 for the 4th Quarter of 2003. The yearly sentenced ADP for 2003 (26,225) is over 5,000 inmates fewer than the yearly sentenced ADP (31,677) for 1993. This finding is especially significant when one considers that the 2003 total ADP is almost 8,000-inmates higher than it was in 1993.

Quarterly Results


	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2002	27,529	28,663	28,107	29,041	28,338
2003	27,257	26,169	25,770	25,725	26,225

Jail Profile Survey

2003

Percentage Non-sentenced

10 Year Summary									
1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
53%	57%	59%	59%	58%	57%	58%	60%	61%	62%




With the non-sentenced population increasing by over 13,000 inmates in the last ten years, and the sentenced population decreasing by over 5,000 inmates, the percentage of non-sentenced inmates has been steadily rising. The non-sentence percentage of the total ADP has gone from 53% to 65% in ten years. With the static ADP over the last two years, the non-sentenced population increased from 62% in 2002 to 65% in 2003. This rate of increase in the non-sentenced population is creating tremendous pressure to find adequate jail space for sentenced inmates to complete their assigned lengths of stay.

Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2002	63%	62%	63%	62%	62%
2003	64%	65%	66%	66%	65%

Average Number of Persons Booked Per Month

10 Year Summary									
1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
105,593	103,996	97,589	101,942	99,182	98,860	97,008	98,754	96,695	98,668




In the 4th Quarter of 2003, the average number of bookings per month was 100,988. The average number of bookings per month for 2003 calendar year was 102,282. The 2003 result is 4% higher than in 2002, and 6% higher than in 2001. We believe that these increases reflect the gradually increasing crime rate in California, and the inexorable growth in the State's general population. The fact that in the recent past, bookings have increased while the ADP has not, suggests an ever widening gulf between the need for jail beds and jail capacity.

Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2002	97,628	98,417	100,008	98,592	98,668
2003	97,465	103,966	106,623	100,988	102,282

Average Length Of Stay

10 Year Summary									
1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
									23.5



In 2002, we adopted a new method of measuring the Average Length of Stay. Prior to 2002, we used an estimate that was computed from the booking and ADP results. Since 2002, jurisdictions have provided us with the actual lengths-of-stay figures for inmates released during the reporting period. Even though the two methods produce similar results, the Average Length of Stay values published in this report were computed using the latter method.

The Average Length of Stay in 2002 was 23.5 days, and 20.5 days in 2003. As an increasing number of beds are occupied by non-sentenced inmates, and the overall number of available beds stays relatively constant, the Average Length of Stay will continue to decrease.

Quarterly Results

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Average
2002	22.4	27.5	21.4	22.7	23.5
2003	20.8	21.6	20.9	18.8	20.5

Average Daily Population

Non-sentenced / Sentenced Male / Female

California County Jails - For Months Of October - December 2003

		Non-sentenced						Sentenced						Total
		Male		Female		Total		Male		Female		Total		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Jurisdiction														
1 . Alameda Sheriff's Dept.		2,713	90%	287	10%	3,000	74%	875	85%	158	15%	1,033	26%	4,033
2 . Amador Sheriff's Dept.		24	90%	3	10%	27	32%	46	83%	10	17%	56	68%	82
3 . Butte Sheriff's Dept.		275	85%	49	15%	324	64%	153	85%	27	15%	180	36%	505
4 . Calaveras Sheriff's Dept.		33	87%	5	13%	38	51%	31	85%	5	15%	36	49%	74
5 . Colusa Sheriff's Dept.		17	85%	3	15%	20	43%	23	90%	3	10%	26	57%	46
6 . Contra Costa Sheriff's Dept.		829	87%	125	13%	954	63%	500	90%	56	10%	556	37%	1,510
7 . Del Norte Sheriff's Dept.		36	82%	8	18%	44	44%	35	62%	21	38%	56	56%	99
8 . El Dorado Sheriff's Dept.		142	86%	23	14%	165	51%	139	89%	17	11%	156	49%	321
9 . Fresno Sheriff's Dept.		2,103	89%	249	11%	2,352	79%	539	86%	86	14%	625	21%	2,977
10 . Glenn Sheriff's Dept.		68	85%	12	15%	81	62%	42	87%	6	13%	49	38%	129
11 . Humboldt Sheriff's Dept.		211	86%	34	14%	244	68%	96	85%	17	15%	113	32%	357
12 . Imperial Sheriff's Dept.		194	89%	25	11%	218	54%	177	96%	8	4%	186	46%	404
13 . Inyo Sheriff's Dept.		29	83%	6	17%	35	45%	33	80%	8	20%	42	55%	76
14 . Kern Sheriff's Dept.		1,205	87%	175	13%	1,380	63%	634	79%	169	21%	802	37%	2,182
15 . Kings Sheriff's Dept.		125	89%	16	11%	141	48%	129	85%	23	15%	151	52%	292
16 . Lake Sheriff's Dept.		152	88%	21	12%	173	76%	41	78%	12	22%	53	24%	226
17 . Lassen Sheriff's Dept.		40	76%	13	24%	53	49%	46	83%	9	17%	56	51%	108
18 . Los Angeles Sheriff's Dept.		10,491	88%	1,429	12%	11,920	71%	4,376	90%	498	10%	4,873	29%	16,793
19 . Madera Corrections Dept.		195	84%	38	16%	233	66%	106	90%	12	10%	118	34%	351
20 . Marin Sheriff's Dept.		208	85%	36	15%	245	87%	29	79%	8	21%	37	13%	282
21 . Mariposa Sheriff's Dept.		17	86%	3	14%	19	51%	16	87%	2	13%	19	49%	38
22 . Mendocino Sheriff's Dept.		105	83%	21	17%	126	56%	83	82%	18	18%	101	44%	227
23 . Merced Sheriff's Dept.		456	90%	50	10%	506	77%	138	90%	16	10%	154	23%	660
24 . Modoc Sheriff's Dept.		16	86%	3	14%	19	57%	10	69%	4	31%	14	43%	33
25 . Mono Sheriff's Dept.		9	82%	2	18%	11	46%	11	80%	3	20%	13	54%	25
26 . Monterey Sheriff's Dept.		556	88%	77	12%	633	56%	436	88%	59	12%	495	44%	1,128
27 . Napa Corrections Dept.		42	83%	9	17%	50	21%	166	87%	25	13%	190	79%	241
28 . Nevada Sheriff's Dept.		63	86%	10	14%	73	45%	68	77%	21	23%	88	55%	161
29 . Oakland Police Dept.		85	81%	19	19%	104	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	104
30 . Orange Sheriff's Dept.		2,179	88%	299	12%	2,478	47%	2,419	85%	424	15%	2,842	53%	5,320
31 . Placer Sheriff's Dept.		245	90%	26	10%	272	55%	182	83%	37	17%	219	45%	490
32 . Plumas Sheriff's Dept.		22	85%	4	15%	26	58%	17	89%	2	11%	19	42%	45
33 . Riverside Sheriff's Dept.		2,181	90%	248	10%	2,430	75%	692	85%	120	15%	812	25%	3,242
34 . Sacramento Sheriff's Dept.		1,413	83%	289	17%	1,702	49%	1,530	88%	211	12%	1,742	51%	3,444
35 . San Benito Sheriff's Dept.		53	88%	7	12%	60	54%	46	89%	6	11%	52	46%	112

Average Daily Population

Non-sentenced / Sentenced Male / Female

California County Jails - For Months Of October - December 2003

		Non-sentenced						Sentenced						Total
		Male		Female		Total		Male		Female		Total		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
36 .	San Bernardino Sheriff's Dept.	3,347	87%	498	13%	3,844	74%	1,098	83%	232	17%	1,330	26%	5,175
37 .	San Diego Sheriff's Dept.	2,704	84%	528	16%	3,232	67%	1,465	90%	161	10%	1,626	33%	4,858
38 .	San Diego Work Furlough	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	188	92%	15	8%	203	100%	203
39 .	San Francisco Sheriff's Dept.	1,305	90%	144	10%	1,449	78%	345	85%	59	15%	404	22%	1,853
40 .	San Joaquin Sheriff's Dept.	610	87%	94	13%	703	54%	503	85%	91	15%	593	46%	1,297
41 .	San Luis Obispo Sheriff's Dept	177	86%	30	14%	206	45%	217	85%	38	15%	255	55%	461
42 .	San Mateo Sheriff's Dept.	501	91%	52	9%	553	51%	459	86%	76	14%	535	49%	1,088
43 .	Santa Ana Police Dept.	356	92%	33	8%	389	97%	10	81%	2	19%	12	3%	401
44 .	Santa Barbara Sheriff's Dept.	453	85%	81	15%	535	59%	331	88%	44	12%	375	41%	910
45 .	Santa Clara Corrections Dept.	2,406	88%	341	12%	2,746	69%	1,059	87%	156	13%	1,214	31%	3,961
46 .	Santa Clara Probation Dept.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	161	78%	45	22%	205	100%	205
47 .	Santa Cruz Sheriff's Dept.	270	89%	34	11%	304	51%	256	87%	38	13%	294	49%	598
48 .	Shasta Sheriff's Dept.	222	85%	39	15%	261	70%	87	79%	24	21%	110	30%	371
49 .	Sierra Sheriff's Dept.	4	87%	1	13%	5	75%	1	80%	0	20%	2	25%	7
50 .	Siskiyou Sheriff's Dept.	67	93%	5	7%	72	73%	24	88%	3	12%	27	27%	99
51 .	Solano Sheriff's Dept.	630	85%	112	15%	742	71%	251	85%	46	15%	296	29%	1,038
52 .	Sonoma Sheriff's Dept.	514	88%	70	12%	584	55%	410	85%	73	15%	483	45%	1,067
53 .	Stanislaus Sheriff's Dept.	674	88%	88	12%	762	63%	358	82%	81	18%	439	37%	1,201
54 .	Sutter Sheriff's Dept.	162	88%	22	12%	185	73%	50	72%	19	28%	69	27%	254
55 .	Tehama Sheriff's Dept.	99	89%	12	11%	111	57%	69	84%	13	16%	83	43%	194
56 .	Trinity Sheriff's Dept.	18	84%	3	16%	21	56%	14	84%	3	16%	17	44%	38
57 .	Tulare Sheriff's Dept.	670	89%	82	11%	752	61%	411	87%	61	13%	472	39%	1,224
58 .	Tuolumne Sheriff's Dept.	58	81%	13	19%	72	54%	45	73%	17	27%	61	46%	133
59 .	Ventura Sheriff's Dept.	922	83%	190	17%	1,111	70%	438	93%	32	7%	470	30%	1,581
60 .	Ventura Work Furlough	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	69	80%	17	20%	86	100%	86
61 .	Yolo Sheriff's Dept.	327	84%	60	16%	387	91%	30	78%	8	22%	38	9%	425
62 .	Yuba Sheriff's Dept.	276	77%	83	23%	360	86%	47	79%	13	21%	60	14%	419
Statewide		43,300	87%	6,239	13%	49,539	66%	22,260	87%	3,464	13%	25,725	34%	75,264

Jail Populations: Quarter 4, 2002 Versus Quarter 4, 2003

Jurisdiction	Qtr 4, 2002	Qtr 4, 2003	Qtr 4, 2003 Minus Qtr 4, 2002	Qtr 4, 2002 To Qtr 4, 2003 % +/-	% of Total Increase or Decrease	Cum %	Cum Total
Fresno Sheriff's Dept.	2,247	2,977	730	32.5%	25.5%	25.50%	730
Orange Sheriff's Dept.	4,849	5,321	472	9.7%	16.5%	41.98%	1,202
Sacramento Sheriff's Dept.	3,238	3,444	206	6.4%	7.2%	49.18%	1,408
San Bernardino Sheriff's Dept.	4,977	5,175	198	4.0%	6.9%	56.10%	1,606
Santa Clara Corrections Dept.	3,791	3,961	170	4.5%	5.9%	62.03%	1,776
Monterey Sheriff's Dept.	1,007	1,128	121	12.0%	4.2%	66.26%	1,897
Santa Ana Police Dept.	297	400	103	34.7%	3.6%	69.86%	2,000
San Mateo Sheriff's Dept.	987	1,088	101	10.2%	3.5%	73.38%	2,101
San Joaquin Sheriff's Dept.	1,201	1,297	96	8.0%	3.4%	76.74%	2,197
Ventura Sheriff's Dept.	1,493	1,582	89	6.0%	3.1%	79.85%	2,286
San Diego Sheriff's Dept.	4,772	4,859	87	1.8%	3.0%	82.89%	2,373
Stanislaus Sheriff's Dept.	1,124	1,201	77	6.9%	2.7%	85.57%	2,450
Santa Barbara Sheriff's Dept.	852	910	58	6.8%	2.0%	87.60%	2,508
San Luis Obispo Sheriff's Dept.	405	461	56	13.8%	2.0%	89.56%	2,564
Merced Sheriff's Dept.	617	660	43	7.0%	1.5%	91.06%	2,607
Glenn Sheriff's Dept.	90	129	39	43.3%	1.4%	92.42%	2,646
Sonoma Sheriff's Dept.	1,031	1,066	35	3.4%	1.2%	93.64%	2,681
Lake Sheriff's Dept.	193	226	33	17.1%	1.2%	94.80%	2,714
Nevada Sheriff's Dept.	138	161	23	16.7%	0.8%	95.60%	2,737
Tehama Sheriff's Dept.	176	194	18	10.2%	0.6%	96.23%	2,755
Alameda Sheriff's Dept.	4,017	4,033	16	0.4%	0.6%	96.79%	2,771
Sutter Sheriff's Dept.	240	254	14	5.8%	0.5%	97.28%	2,785
Imperial Sheriff's Dept.	392	404	12	3.1%	0.4%	97.69%	2,797
Mendocino Sheriff's Dept.	217	226	9	4.1%	0.3%	98.01%	2,806
Butte Sheriff's Dept.	495	504	9	1.8%	0.3%	98.32%	2,815
Napa Corrections Dept.	234	242	8	3.4%	0.3%	98.60%	2,823
Placer Sheriff's Dept.	485	490	5	1.0%	0.2%	98.78%	2,828
Oakland Police Dept.	99	104	5	5.1%	0.2%	98.95%	2,833
Modoc Sheriff's Dept.	28	33	5	17.9%	0.2%	99.13%	2,838
Sierra Sheriff's Dept.	3	7	4	133.3%	0.1%	99.27%	2,842
Amador Sheriff's Dept.	78	82	4	5.1%	0.1%	99.41%	2,846
Marin Sheriff's Dept.	278	282	4	1.4%	0.1%	99.55%	2,850
San Diego Work Furlough	200	203	3	1.5%	0.1%	99.65%	2,853
Yolo Sheriff's Dept.	424	426	2	0.5%	0.1%	99.72%	2,855
Riverside Sheriff's Dept.	3,240	3,242	2	0.1%	0.1%	99.79%	2,857
Mariposa Sheriff's Dept.	36	38	2	5.6%	0.1%	99.86%	2,859
Ventura Work Furlough	85	86	1	1.2%	0.0%	99.90%	2,860
Mono Sheriff's Dept.	23	24	1	4.3%	0.0%	99.93%	2,861
El Dorado Sheriff's Dept.	320	321	1	0.3%	0.0%	99.97%	2,862
Siskiyou Sheriff's Dept.	98	99	1	1.0%	0.0%	100.00%	2,863
Inyo Sheriff's Dept.	76	76	0	0.0%	0.0%	100.00%	2,863
Calaveras Sheriff's Dept.	74	74	0	0.0%	0.0%	100.00%	2,863
Plumas Sheriff's Dept.	46	45	-1	-2.2%	0.0%	0.03%	-1
Kings Sheriff's Dept.	293	292	-1	-0.3%	0.0%	0.06%	-2
Colusa Sheriff's Dept.	47	46	-1	-2.1%	0.0%	0.09%	-3
Tuolumne Sheriff's Dept.	134	133	-1	-0.7%	0.0%	0.13%	-4
Madera Corrections Dept.	352	350	-2	-0.6%	0.1%	0.19%	-6
Lassen Sheriff's Dept.	110	108	-2	-1.8%	0.1%	0.25%	-8
San Benito Sheriff's Dept.	115	111	-4	-3.5%	0.1%	0.38%	-12
Santa Cruz Sheriff's Dept.	605	598	-7	-1.2%	0.2%	0.60%	-19
Yuba Sheriff's Dept.	428	420	-8	-1.9%	0.3%	0.85%	-27
Trinity Sheriff's Dept.	47	38	-9	-19.1%	0.3%	1.13%	-36
Del Norte Sheriff's Dept.	115	100	-15	-13.0%	0.5%	1.61%	-51
Shasta Sheriff's Dept.	392	371	-21	-5.4%	0.7%	2.27%	-72
Humboldt Sheriff's Dept.	388	357	-31	-8.0%	1.0%	3.25%	-103
Santa Clara Probation Dept.	237	205	-32	-13.5%	1.0%	4.25%	-135
Tulare Sheriff's Dept.	1,264	1,224	-40	-3.2%	1.3%	5.52%	-175
Kern Sheriff's Dept.	2,226	2,182	-44	-2.0%	1.4%	6.90%	-219
Solano Sheriff's Dept.	1,092	1,038	-54	-4.9%	1.7%	8.60%	-273
Contra Costa Sheriff's Dept.	1,592	1,510	-82	-5.2%	2.6%	11.19%	-355
San Francisco Sheriff's Dept.	2,199	1,853	-346	-15.7%	10.9%	22.09%	-701
Los Angeles Sheriff's Dept.	19,264	16,792	-2,472	-12.8%	77.9%	100.00%	-3,173
	75,573	75,263	-310	Note: Totals subject to slight variation due to rounding			
		Total Increase:	2,863				
		Total Decrease:	-3,173				

Interpretation of Table:
JAIL POPULATIONS: 4th Quarter '02 versus 4th Quarter '03

This table:

- summarizes the ADP results for the 62 jurisdictions in California reporting data from Type II, III, and IV jails;
- summarizes jurisdiction ADP results for the most recent quarter (Column C);
- compares jurisdiction ADP for the most recent quarter with the same quarter last year (Column B);
- ranks the jurisdictions in terms of gains or losses in ADP from high to low (Column D);
- lists the percentage growth or decline in ADP for each jurisdiction (Column E);
- lists the percentage of the overall State increase or decrease in ADP that is represented by each jurisdiction (Column F);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative percentage increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the decrease (Column G);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative total increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the biggest decrease (Column H); and,
- lists the jurisdictions that experienced decreases in their ADP as shaded.

Some important conclusions from this table are:

1. The two numbers at the bottom indicate the "total increase" in ADP (in this case 2,863) and "total decrease" in ADP (-3,173). In other words, the jurisdictions experiencing increases had a total increase of 2,863 ADP; and the jurisdictions experiencing decreases had a total decrease of 3,173 ADP. Subtracting 2,863 from 3,173 produces the overall decrease of 310 between the fourth quarter of 2002 and the fourth quarter of 2003.
2. The Fresno ADP increase of 730 is 32.5% of the total increase of 2,863. Forty jurisdictions had increases (down to Siskiyou Sheriff's Department) with two jurisdictions reporting no increase (Inyo and Calaveras Sheriff's Departments). When you get to Calaveras Sheriff's Department, you have accounted for 100% of the increases (100% of the cumulative total of 2,863).
3. Jurisdictions that experienced a decrease in ADP are listed from smallest decrease to largest decrease (Plumas Sheriff's Department to Los Angeles Sheriff's Department). When you get to the bottom of the table, you have accounted for 100% of the total decreases of 3,173 inmates.
4. The cumulative percentage of ADP increase for the top four jurisdictions (Fresno Sheriff's Department to San Bernardino Sheriff's Department) is 56.1%. In other words, four jurisdictions accounted for about 56% of the total ADP increase. Three jurisdictions (Contra Costa, San Francisco and Los Angeles Sheriff's Departments) account for about 91% of the decreases.